



# Socioanalysis Peer Review Policy

## Purpose

The peer review process is an essential component of maintaining the quality and integrity of scholarly publications. It serves to ensure that articles submitted to Socioanalysis undergo rigorous evaluation by experts in the field, enabling the publication of high-quality, credible, and impactful research.

### Types of Peer Review

Socioanalysis employs a double-blind peer review process, where the identities of both the authors and reviewers are concealed from each other. This ensures an impartial evaluation of the manuscript solely based on its scientific merit.

### Selection of Reviewers

Reviewers are selected based on their expertise, experience, and contributions to the relevant field. The editorial board and/or editors are responsible for identifying and inviting reviewers who possess the necessary qualifications to evaluate the manuscript thoroughly.

## Peer Review Process

Upon submission, manuscripts undergo an initial assessment by the editorial team to determine their suitability for the journal's scope and quality standards. Submissions meeting these criteria proceed to the peer review stage.

**Initial Review:** The assigned editor conducts an initial assessment to evaluate the manuscript's adherence to the journal's guidelines, ethical considerations, and overall alignment with the journal's scope. Manuscripts meeting these criteria proceed to peer review.

**Peer Review:** Reviewers are provided with guidelines and evaluation criteria to assess the manuscript comprehensively. They evaluate the originality, significance, methodology, results, and conclusions presented in the manuscript. Reviewers provide constructive feedback, comments, and recommendations to the authors.

**Editorial Decision:** Based on the reviewers' feedback, the editor makes an informed decision on the manuscript. Possible decisions include acceptance, revision, further review, or rejection. Authors are informed of the decision along with reviewers' comments to facilitate improvements if needed.



### Confidentiality and Anonymity

Reviewers are expected to maintain the confidentiality of the review process and refrain from disclosing any information regarding the manuscript. Similarly, authors' identities are concealed from reviewers to ensure an unbiased evaluation.

### Timeliness

Socioanalysis is committed to ensuring a timely review process. Reviewers are encouraged to provide their feedback within the stipulated timeframe. Authors will be promptly informed about the status of their submission throughout the review process.

### Appeals and Dispute Resolution

Authors who disagree with the decision made regarding their manuscript can appeal the decision by providing a well-structured rebuttal addressing the reviewers' and editor's comments. Appeals are reviewed by the editorial board or a designated committee for fair consideration and resolution.

### Ethical Guidelines

Socioanalysis adheres strictly to ethical guidelines outlined by relevant professional bodies, including plagiarism, authorship, and conflict of interest policies. Authors, reviewers, and editors are expected to comply with these guidelines.

### Feedback and Continuous Improvement

We welcome feedback from authors, reviewers, and readers to improve our peer review process continuously. Suggestions and comments are carefully considered to enhance the quality and efficiency of the review system.

This policy outlines Socioanalysis's commitment to maintaining the highest standards of peer review to ensure the publication of credible and impactful research in our journal.